Responded at	Q1 Please tell us if you wish to support or object to the Traffic Regulation Orders	Q2 (Please tell us, in the box below, the reason for your support or objection.)	Response ID
2023-07-21 10:03:43 +0100	Object	You are aware of planning application 22/03450/HYB, KCC Highways and Transportation commented as recently as 20th June 2023. So why are you spending our money on something which will be redundant if this planning application is approved? Application: 22/03450/HYB, Broom Hill Site, London Road, Swanley Kent Hybrid application comprising: IN FULL, demolition of existing buildings and the creation of a new access roundabout on London Road and erection of a retail food store (Use Class E(a)), together with associated plant and infrastructure, internal roads and landscaping, and ancillary works; and IN OUTLINE, the erection of 47 dwellings, including public open space and landscaping, with all matters reserved except means of access and layout.	2195846
2023-07-22 13:41:30 +0100	Object	Reduced parking spaces from this proposal will inevitably lead to more cars parking in Beechenlea Lane. Beechenlea lane is already used as a 'car park' by non residents, causing distress to Residents. It is not only Vehicles being inappropriately parked but they regularly restrict access to their own driveways for residents. Residents in Beechenlea Lane are deeply frustrated by the lack of support, empathy and consideration from those individuals responsible for making decisions, the consequence of which, do not directly affect them but they grievously affect residents. Therefore, I wholeheartedly object to this proposal.	2200589
2023-08-08 09:31:21 +0100	Object	It has been bought to our notice that proposals for a new bus lane is to be considered in Swanley which will change the parking and access arrangements of the Moreton Industrial Estate adjacent to Beechenlea Lane and the main road. We write objecting to this proposed bus lane which we feel is a complete waste of money and space. For any benefit to the buses in Swanley you would need a bus lane right through to Asda from this proposed site and having lived in Swanley all of our lives and taking into consideration how bad the traffic in Swanley has got over the years, there certainly would be no room to service a bus lane right through. You are certainly not giving any thought to residents in this particular area of Swanley who have to contend with all the rush hour traffic, the rat run traffic, and especially the thoughtless motorists who want to job share park or just park anyhow on the one particular pavement in the lane of Beechenlea. Beside all this what is the bus lane effect on the new Lidl store when it begins trading? We are going to have excess noise, fumes and traffic from this planning application when its finally up and running. Yellow lines at the bottom of the roads/lane wont help matters if people choose to find alternative parking in the local roads, they will just exacerbate by pushing parked vehicles further up the side roads thus causing congestion and visionary loss to many residents. We have in previous correspondence requested yellow lines in our lane or restricted parking time signs to be installed but when will anyone listen? Due to the speed many of the vehicles travel even speed bumps would be of benefit.	2244287
2023-08-09 07:56:49 +0100	Object	I write to object to the proposal of placing only yellow lines and a bus lane in the area of Moreton Industrial Estate unless the yellow lines are extended to the roads/lane around this part of west east Swanley	2246686
		As a resident of Beechenlea Lane the amount of cars and vans that park all day on our one pavement to car/van share will only increase with this plan and increase the risk to be cafety of the residents of the lane.	

increase the risk to the safety of the residents of the lane.

2023-08-09 08:17:44 +0100	Object	We strongly oppose the proposal to the layout around the Moreton Industrial estate on the basis that it will displace circa 25 vehicles which currently park in the vicinity where there is extremely limited alternative parking. The creation of a bus lane which goes nowhere and holds no strategic value will displace around 25 vehicles which currently park here. There is no assessment of the impact this proposal would have on the limited parking on local roads and no proposed mitigation. Should KCC approve this the applicant or KCC would need to pay for a survey and if successful the delivery of a residents parking or time limited restrictions on Beechenlea Lane, Manse Way, Salisbury Avenue, Wansbury Way, Mead Close and Mayes Close all of which have limited on street parking. It is important to note vehicles park in this area mostly to either visit the industrial estate or access the 233 TfL London bus (this is the start /end of the line and gives access to the London bus, tube and train transport system so is very attractive for commuters). Therefore vehicles from all over the area and nearby villages park here for free to access this vital bus service. We do however welcome a small amount of double yellow lines on the corner of the estate near to the bus stop as this will prevent vehicles parking there and which we are concerned may collapse due to the weight of vehicles continuously parking here. We would suggest if this is rightly refused that any future applications are encouraged to include this element of the proposal.	2246721
2023-07-24 05:41:59 +0100	Object	The redevelopment of this road layout would not have been proposed if the application to extend the commercial site had not been approved. This extension was the clear destruction of green space that is enjoyed by many on a daily basis. It was a very sad day to see parkland destroyed for profit. The decision to now redevelop the road layout is not for public safety or convenience but rather a vanity project with no real purpose. It further upsets existing residents. The project seems like a beautification scheme. It is not stated where the vehicles that use this area for parking will naturally move to. They will most likely move to Beechenlea lane or Salisbury Avenue. Thus moving the problem elsewhere and out of sight. Beechenlea lane already has pavement parking. If the number of cars parked during the day increases this road will then in return become dangerous for pedestrians as already you have to weave in and out of parked cars by using the road and pavement. This redevelopment is just another example of councils and developers trying to fit as much concrete jungle into the M25 boundary.	2202890
		The area is over capacity already and although the existing road layout is not optimal design, nor the best in aesthetics it works just as well as any other design could as it does not affect any residents by any uplift in parking on other roads as a ramification. It already makes the best of a busy congested area. If any works are needed, I would suggest simply widening the road by 3 meters so the buses can drive in with cars not parked on the pavement. I don't see why yellow lines are needed. It's overkill of an area that already works perfectly fine.	
2023-07-27 17:12:22 +0100	Object	The proposal will cause the displacement of around 20-25 vehicles, which will have to park on local unrestricted roads. A significant number of parkers already park here (particularly as 1-50 Highcroft Cottages only have off-road space for one or if they're lucky two maximum cars), and as they can't park on the main London Road they already use side roads such as Salisbury Avenue and Manse Way.	215780
		KCC will need to pay for a survey and for the implementation of parking restrictions on Beechenlea Lane, Manse Way, Salisbury Avenue, Mead Close, Mayes Close and Wansbury Way in order to ensure residents are not negatively impacted by this proposal.	

2023-08-01 12:21:46 +0100 Object	I object to the proposed road works on London Road. There is no requirement for the works. No case has been made for them. There is no need for a revised junction layout and the provision of a bus lane and cycle lane. A bus lane and the provision of a 32m long cycle path to nowhere. It is a fatuous waste of taxpayers money.	, 2227728
	Kent County Council has not provided an alternative for the 30+ vehicles that currently park at the location during the week. If built more cars and vans will be dumped on residential roads, causing residents to walk on the road as pavements are blocked. This is dangerous. Pavements are for people not cars and vans. Provide an alternative for the parking or cancel this ridiculous proposal.	
	A 32m long cycle path to nowhere is a ridiculous suggestion and will make Kent County Council a subject of national ridicule. The sponsors of these work have no knowledge of the use of cyclists in this area. You will see one cyclist a day using this stretch of London Road.	
	If the proposed junction is related to the proposed development of Broom Hill and funded by them in any way, it should be incorporated as part of a resubmittal of the outline planning application and issued to all local residents.	
	If the council has money to spend on local infrastructure, it would be better spent being reallocated to the maintenance budget and repairing the atrocious state of the local road network.	
2023-08-09 09:01:21 +0100 Object	 The purpose cited for the proposed change makes no sense. The same reasoning can be applied to every single road in the county. It is a mis-use of public funds when no benefit can be demonstrated. The proposed bus lane will not benefit the only bus route (233) that will use it since it does not suffer delay at this particular junction at any time. The proposed change will certainly create considerable additional disruption to the local residents. The cars that are currently parked on the proposed route, without any issues, will be displaced to the local residential roads, all of which already suffer existing parking issues and most certainly cannot absorb an influx of additional 30-40 cars. 	2246790

Demographics Data 1) Please tell us how you describe your gender (optional) (Question type: SingleLine) 2) User name (This is what other users will see when you write a comment. We recommend that you don't use your full name). (Question type: SignupForm::Login) 3) Email (Question type: SignupForm::AccountEmail) 3) Email (Question type: SignupForm::AccountEmail) 4) What area do you live in? (Question type: DropDown) Anthord Canterbury Dover Folkestone and Hythe Gravesham Maidstone Medway Sevenoida Sevenoida Sevenoida Touhofdae Malling Tunbridge and Malling Tunbridge of Kent E Area up. 2 (Question type: PoreDeurs) 5) Are you ...? (Question type: DropDown) Female Male I prefer not to say I prefer to use own term - deleted 6) District (Question type: Region) 7) What year were you born? (Question type: Date) a) Age group (Question type: RadioButton)
 16-19
 20-25
 28-33
 38-45
 56-55
 56-56
 Cver 65 9) Year of birth (Question type: Date)

. -, 10) Keep me informed of consultation and engagement activities. (Question type: RadioButton) Yes No

 No
 Thi Topics of Interest (Question type: CheckBox)
 Adult social care
 Arts and cuture
 Arts and cuture
 Control of the control of the cuture
 Contro

13) What is your gender? (Question type: RadioButton) Female Male I prefer not to say I prefer to use own term Survey Data

1) Please tell us if you wish to support or object to this Traffic Regulation Order to prohibit motor vehicles access (except buses and cycles) on London Road (B2173) in Swanley in the District of Sevenoaks. (Question type: RadioButton) Support Support Object

1

1

2) Please tell us, in the box below, the reason for your support or objection. (Question type: Essay)

2) Preset tell us, in the box balow, the reason tor your support or objection. (c 3) Hew did you find ou about this consultation? (Question type: CheckBox) Nexecodor Street notice / Public notice Email notication from KCCS Engagement Platform Email from KCG for or team From my parish / town council From a find or relative Kent_gov_uk website Other ((pease spoth))